

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/033,521		10/18/2001	Ronald A. Ten-Hove	15437-0547	8685	
29989	7590	01/14/2005		EXAMINER		
HICKMAN	N PALEF	RMO TRUONG &	LE, UYEN T			
2055 GATE SUITE 550	WAY PL	ACE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	SAN JOSE, CA 95110			2163		
				DATE MAILED: 01/14/2005	DATE MAILED: 01/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Annilostina No	A line (a)				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summary	10/033,521	TEN-HOVE ET AL.				
•	omee Action Guinnary	Examin r	Art Unit				
		Uyen T. Le	2163				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE : - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 J	lulv 2004.					
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	s action is non-final.					
3)	,		secution as to the merits is				
-,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
•							
	Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) 1-15,21-35 and 41-55 is/are allowed.						
	 ✓ Claim(s) 16-20,36-40 and 56-60 is/are rejected. 						
	Claim(s) <u>10-20,50-40 and 50-00</u> Israte rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	on Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
							111
' ' '	The ball of declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Burea		ed in this National Stage				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ed.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>10-28-04</u> .	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. Applicant's amendment to the abstract is acknowledged. Consequently, objection to the abstract is withdrawn.
- 2. Applicant is required to remove the embedded link at page 2, line 12 by deleting "www" from www.w3c.org.
- 3. Applicant's arguments regarding claims 16-20, 36-40, 56-60 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Richard makes no association between the output data and the log files. In response, the examiner disagrees. The method of Richard clearly associates the output data (converted data) and the log file since the log file is a debugging trace for the progress of the data conversion (see paragraph 0111).

Applicant presents no further argument. Therefore, rejection of claims 16-20, 36-40, 56-60 is maintained using Richard of record and herein repeated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

Art Unit: 2163

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 16-20, 36-40, 56-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Richard (US 2002/0073119).

Regarding claim 16, Richard discloses all the claimed subject matter (see 0015-0018, Figures 5-7). The claimed "entity that defines a computer process" reads on the transformation script of Richard (see the abstract). The claimed "executing a particular action…entity" reads on the execution of a portion of the script. The claimed "generating a result…action" reads on the converted data. The claimed "associating a set of history information…gave rise to said result" reads on the fact that the log file represents a debugging trace for the progress of the data conversion (see paragraph 0111).

Regarding claim 17, the log files in Richard clearly comprise a reference to said particular portion of said entity since they represent the debugging trace (see 0111).

Regarding claim 18, Richard discloses that said entity comprises a transformation document when Richard shows the transformation script (see the abstract).

Claim 19 merely reads on the fact that the method of Richard allows searching for text by using debugging trace level (see 0099). Clearly in the process for searching by using the debugging trace, all the claimed operations of "receiving an indication…gave rise to said result" have to be performed.

Claim 20 merely reads on the fact that the transformation script of Richard includes actions, each action generating a debugging trace associated with data content it transforms (see Figures 6, 7).

Claims 36-40 correspond to a system for the method of claims 16-20, thus are rejected for the same reasons stated in claims 16-20 above.

Claims 56-60 correspond to a computer program product for the method of claims 16-20, thus are rejected for the same reasons stated in claims 16-20 above.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Uyen T. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-4021. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-5:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/033,521 Page 5

Art Unit: 2163

6. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 571-272-4023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

10 January 2005

UYEN LE PRIMARY EXAMINER